1 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 X 4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : CR 05-104 5 6 -against-7 United States Courthouse Brooklyn, New York SIRAJ SHAHAWAR MATIN, 8 9 November 9, 2005 Defendant. 5:45 o'clock p.m. 10 X 11 12 TRANSCRIPT OF CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE NINA GERSHON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 13 14 APPEARANCES: 15 For the Government 16 ROSLYNN R. MAUSKOPF United States Attorney 17 BY: TODD HARRISON Assistant United States Attorney One Pierrepont Plaza 18 Brooklyn, New York 19 the Defen 20 MARTIN R. STOLAR, ESQ. 21 Gene Rudolph Court 225 Cadman Plaza East 22 Brooklyn, New York (718) 260-2538 23 24 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription. 25

2 THE CLERK: Criminal cause for status conference, 1 2 United States versus Matin. 3 The appearances, please. MR. HARRISON: Todd Harrison for the United States. 4 5 Good afternoon, Your Honor. 6 MR. STOLAR: Martin R. Stolar. 7 Good evening, Judge. 8 THE COURT: Hello, counsel. 9 I'm sorry I had to keep you waiting. 10 MR. HARRISON: That's okay. 11 THE COURT: I was just finishing up another trial. 12 Okay. 13 MR. STOLAR: Let me suggest the first order of 14 business. 15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 MR. STOLAR: That is, I am pleased to say that I 17 will withdraw the conditions of confinement motion. My client 18 has been released from the Special Housing Unit and he is now 19 in general population. 20 THE COURT: I see. 21 The next thing? 22 MR. STOLAR: Second order of business, I guess we 23 will have a hearing on the motion to suppress based on the 24 government's letter of -- dated yesterday. 25 THE COURT: All right. I thought I was going to get

```
3
 1
     papers today. Is that the paper?
 2
               MR STOLAR:
                            That --
 3
               MR. HARRISON:
                              The only issue left open, Judge, was
    we had objected to them submitting an attorney affirmation.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Right.
 6
               That was taken care of?
 7
               MR. HARRISON: That was taken care of. They
 8
    submitted the defendant's own affirmation.
 9
               THE COURT:
                           Right.
10
              MR. HARRISON: Therefore, we are not objecting to
11
    their --
12
              THE COURT: What about any legal briefing? I
13
    thought I would get something before.
14
              Your assumption is that you will not do that until
15
    after the hearing?
16
              MR. STOLAR: Posthearing.
17
              MR. HARRISON:
                             Yes.
18
              THE COURT:
                          Do you think so? I am not going to know
19
    what I am looking for at the hearing?
20
              MR. HARRISON: It's pretty simple. We are talking
21
    about the voluntariness of his post-arrest statements.
22
              MR. STOLAR: That's correct.
23
              THE COURT:
                           That's the only issue? Voluntariness?
24
              MR. STOLAR: Voluntariness in the overall sense.
25
    That includes Miranda and whether the waiver of Miranda was a
```

4 1 voluntary and knowing waiver. 2 Okay. How long do you anticipate the THE COURT: 3 hearing will take? 4 MR. HARRISON: Not long, Judge. I am in the process 5 of evaluating it now. I think the government will just have two, three, at the most, witnesses. I don't anticipate them 6 7 taking more than a couple of hours. 8 MR. STOLAR: The defendant may testify at the 9 Then we may have one other witness. I would -- I hearing. 10 think it will probably be at least one day. 11 THE COURT: Do you think it will be a full day? 12 MR. STOLAR: I think so. 13 THE COURT: All right. Let me take a look at the calendar. We have it scheduled for the 18th? 14 15 MR. STOLAR: We do, Judge. 16 THE COURT: All right. 17 MR. STOLAR: My cocounsel Khurrum Wahid has asked me 18 to ask you to see if we can put the hearing off so he can be 19 present. He's presently on trial in the Eastern District of 20 Virginia. He expects the case to wind up approximately 21 Thanksgiving weekend. It is a fairly high profile, serious 22 case that he has been on trial with for about two weeks. 23 THE COURT: I am not available. I have a problem 24 with availability on -- I won't be available the week after

25

Thanksgiving.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STOLAR: Because he has done a good portion of the work. He's also done some of the non-defendant witnesses.

THE COURT: What you are saying is, we can't do it on November 18th. We have to move it to January?

> GR OCR. CM **CSR** CRR

1 |

on --

MR. STOLAR: We can do it in December, depending

MR. HARRISON: I am starting trial November 28th in front of Judge Trager. It is going to go pretty much up until Christmas.

THE COURT: I am scheduled to have a trial also then, that entire month. I could take off -- maybe take one day off.

If you are not available either, we will forget about December. Okay.

MR. STOLAR: So early --

THE COURT: The options are either just going forward with it on the 18th and then having the trial January 9th, or if we do the hearing, then you are going to want to brief it, we would have to put the trial off.

MR. HARRISON: The cautionary note that I would mention, Judge, is that if things got pushed back, then I have a concern because I am going to be out of the office probably for the first week of February and then for March and most of April. I am just concerned if we keep pushing things back towards January, things are going to get pushed back.

MR. STOLAR: How about the week of January 2nd?

Mr. Stolar also is going to, I think, submit to Your Honor -- he would like to have a rather lengthy questionnaire. The government's position is it is not necessary to have a

lengthy questionnaire in this case. I wouldn't mind submitting some extra voir dire questions to Your Honor, something along the lines of what I believe Your Honor did in Maflahi. So that's not something where we are giving a jury, the voir dire panel, a lengthy questionnaire that will take them weeks to fill out and us another two weeks to score and then come back and have another week of questions. I think we can accomplish this in a couple of days, just with some extra voir dire questions that are asked by the Court.

MR. STOLAR: I thought --

THE COURT: I'm sorry.

MR. STOLAR: I thought we were on the scheduling question.

MR. HARRISON: That's my point.

THE COURT: The point is that if the questionnaire takes a long time, then we do have an issue.

MR. STOLAR: Judge, you have not even determined whether or not you are willing to consider using a questionnaire. That's why I wrote you the letter.

THE COURT: I thought that -- your letter said the government had no opposition to the request.

MR. STOLAR: That --

MR. HARRISON: He raised it as a general hypothetical at the last status conference. I said I don't have an immediate opposition to it. I wanted to think about

it.

I also would have some questions that I would like to submit for voir dire but I don't think a full blown lengthy questionnaire is necessary.

THE COURT: Maybe the thing to do with respect to the questionnaire is have you, as soon as possible, submit to me the questions that you think should go in a questionnaire. Try to work out between yourselves as much as you can an agreement as to the questions. After I look at it I can decide whether I think this is something that could be done in a --

MR. STOLAR: I have a draft of a full blown questionnaire which I haven't gone over with Mr. Harrison yet. It is much too long. I will --

THE COURT: Let's fix some time for this to be done. Give me -- then we will go back to the other scheduling. Can you give me a date by which you can get your proposed questionnaire to Mr. Harrison and he can respond? Then when I take a look at it when it is all done I can decide whether I think we need a questionnaire.

MR. STOLAR: Taking his suggestion, obviously there are -- the major thrust of the letter that I wrote you has to do with people being more honest in the privacy of filling out a questionnaire on some of the more combustible issues in the case.

THE COURT: Right. That's not the word you want to use, right?

MR. HARRISON: No, Judge, I wouldn't think so.

THE COURT: You may recall better than I do in terms of the Maflahi case what -- did I take all the jurors in the back?

MR. HARRISON: I think you did, Judge. That's my understanding from Ms. Moore.

THE COURT: I had another case. I actually had a case but it wasn't a charge of terrorism. It was just that the defendants were Arabic defendants and they were -- it was a white collar case. There was no charge of terrorism but it was immediately after 9/11.

MR. HARRISON: I believe the defendants were going to learn about the fact that the original investigation was a terrorism investigation.

THE COURT: No. The case that I am -- I am not talking about Maflahi. I am talking about a different case that I did where what I did was simply -- I actually interviewed every single juror in the back. It was actually fairly efficient and effective.

MR. HARRISON: That was going to be part of my suggestion. That would take care of the defense's privacy concerns.

THE COURT: It may be in this case we may be able to

cut back on the number of people who I have to interview. 1 2 MR. STOLAR: Based on the written response? 3 THE COURT: Based on the questionnaire. certainly prepared to consider it. I don't see any reason to 4 5 have a very lengthy questionnaire. 6 No. I am willing to cut it down to the MR. STOLAR: 7 issues that I highlight in my letter to you. 8 THE COURT: Okay. I would suggest -- let's have a date by which you will file the proposed questions. 9 10 this will be in a questionnaire or this will be your proposed 11 voir dire, presumably. 12 MR. STOLAR: Again, it is the privacy. It's like 13 asking somebody in front of all the jurors. 14 THE COURT: I will not do that. I can assure you, I 15 am not going to do that. 16 MR. STOLAR: You start on the 28th? Mr. Harrison? 17 MR. HARRISON: Yes. 18 You can get it -- the forms, I will look at it 19 whenever. 20 THE COURT: Let's do that right now. Then I can start thinking about that. 21 22 MR. STOLAR: All right. Let me take two weeks to 23 try to put it together for you. 24 THE COURT: Okay. That would be what? 25 MR. STOLAR: That would be the -- around the 23rd.

11 1 I will try to get it out --2 THE COURT: Before Thanksgiving? 3 MR. STOLAR: Thanksgiving present. 4 THE COURT: All right. 11/23 for the defendant's 5 proposed questionnaire. 6 Mr. Harrison? 7 MR. HARRISON: If you give me, I guess, until the 8 end of the next week, which is December 2nd. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Then really what I am interested 10 in is something after you exchange these and what remains in 11 dispute. 12 Sure. MR. HARRISON: 13 THE COURT: If you can put something like that 14 together. 15 MR. STOLAR: 0kay. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Say a week after that, 17 December 9th, what's agreed and what isn't agreed, a 18 statement. 19 MR. HARRISON: That's something in writing to you, 20 Judge. 21 THE COURT: Yes, I think something in writing. 22 MR. STOLAR: You want me to submit to the government 23 and then they will get back to me in a week? 24 THE COURT: Exactly. Try to work it out together 25 and get me something. That's fine.

Then the question is, since I have actually never used a questionnaire, how to prepare it for a case. We never had to do it.

I don't really know how long it does take in terms of jury selection.

MR. STOLAR: It doesn't really delay it that much, even with the full blown questionnaires.

THE COURT: We have to bring the jurors here. They look at the questionnaire and fill it out somewhere, probably in the courtroom on the second floor.

How many jurors do you think we will need to call?

MR. STOLAR: How long do you think the trial is
going to take? The government's case?

MR. HARRISON: I would say, the government's case won't take more than two weeks, at the most, I would think.

THE COURT: We are not sitting on Fridays.

MR. STOLAR: That would be the preference.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HARRISON: I have to say, I've never actually done a questionnaire either. In talking to a number of people from my office who have, they describe sort of a nightmare scenario. After the jurors fill it out, it usually takes, because of the number of questions, the number of jurors to fill out the forms, it takes a couple of weeks for the various attorneys to score the sheets and figure out.

THE COURT: There are only two of you.

MR. HARRISON: Right. Two sides, each of the two sides to go over things. It takes a significant amount of time and manpower.

MR. STOLAR: Here we are cutting it down to basically questions about the issues that I have outlined. I think we are going to run into -- I think we should have a larger pool rather than a smaller one.

THE COURT: Obviously.

Are we talking about how many hundreds of people are we going to need? I think the government probably would have a better idea based on other cases that have been done in the office.

MR. HARRISON: Again, I've never actually done a case with a questionnaire. I can find out.

THE COURT: I don't know that that makes a difference.

MR. HARRISON: My guess is, and I will ask, my guess is in cases with questionnaires, more people get struck.

There is just more information for the attorneys to argue that someone should be struck. That's sort of another reason why everything sort of takes longer with a questionnaire. I could try and find out and maybe submit something to the Court.

THE COURT: Right.

We obviously don't have to get the jurors now. We

need plenty of notice. We can't ask them the week before. If we need more jurors than would fit into the ceremonial courtroom, we may have to do it on multiple days. Again, there is -- my sense of it was, there was a lot of logistics to it which we maybe we could avoid with something else.

MR. HARRISON: That's my understanding.

MR. STOLAR: How many people does the ceremonial courtroom sit?

THE COURT: Two hundred.

THE CLERK: A little more, 250.

THE COURT: Between two and 300.

Counsel, just a thought which is that if the idea is to really quickly get rid of jurors who can't be fair, maybe a relatively brief questionnaire dealing with those type of issues that are a problem here and we could eliminate lots of jurors and then do a private voir dire with everyone else.

MR. STOLAR: That makes sense.

THE COURT: That might be the way to do it, rather than creating this unwieldy -- I haven't had much sympathy for the questionnaire practice based upon my understanding of how unwieldy it was. I can understand the concerns here. There may be some kind of combination that would work well. I certainly am not looking to interview 300 people if I don't have to.

MR. STOLAR: No. I think that the -- if the

questions are properly phrased, that people who feel sensitive about it are going to be quickly eliminated. They will self-eliminate, essentially. Then we will be back to essentially the normal voir dire and perhaps a follow-up on some of the iffy responses that people will give.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. STOLAR: Good.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. STOLAR: That brings us back to scheduling.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. STOLAR: The hearing on the motion to suppress, I do think that Mr. Wahid, his presence I think is necessary, which is why I would like to sort of -- I would even do it, if you are working between Christmas and New Years, we can come in then.

MR. HARRISON: My guess is I am going to have problems with witnesses.

MR. STOLAR: The agents don't want to come in?

MR. HARRISON: During that period, yes, Judge.

THE COURT: If we -- let's see. If we did the suppression hearing on the 9th, but then you are going to need time to brief it. Then we would definitely have to put the trial over for a significant period of time.

You will be back when?

MR. HARRISON: I would be back mid-April, Judge.

1 MR. STOLAR: That's fine. I don't have a problem 2 with that. 3 It will certainly give us plenty of time THE COURT: 4 to work on the questionnaire. 5 MR. STOLAR: Among other things. 6 THE COURT: Mr. Harrison? 7 MR. STOLAR: One of the other considerations also, I have to say in terms of time, is that I have -- my client was 8 able to bring in the -- his corrections of the transcripts of 9 10 seven of the 14 disks, which I am getting --11 THE COURT: Whose corrections? Your client's? 12 MR. STOLAR: My client's corrections. 13 I am going to make copies of those and get them to Mr. Harrison so that he can see -- we are trying to get to 14 15 some kind of an agreed rendition transcript of what's on the 16 tapes. 17 THE COURT: He's corrected seven so far? 18 MR. STOLAR: Seven so far, since he has been moved 19 down from the SHU. Of course, the disks didn't get moved down 20 with him. So we are now scrambling to try to get the disks 21 down from SHU going through the counselor and MDC Legal. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. STOLAR: He has one conversation left on the 24 eighth transcript.

GR OCR CM CRR CSR

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Harrison, can you

25

```
17
1
    accommodate that? We will do the suppression motion on the
    9th and then we will put the trial over? That will be
2
3
    briefed.
              MR. HARRISON: Okay, judge.
4
              THE COURT: Is that all right with you?
5
6
              MR. HARRISON: Yes.
              THE COURT: Your schedule?
7
                    Then we don't need the November 18th at all.
8
              Okay.
9
              MR. STOLAR:
                           Right.
              THE COURT: Okay. Let's pick a date and let's make
10
    this firm now.
11
12
              MR. STOLAR: Okay.
              THE COURT: Mr. Harrison?
13
              MR. STOLAR: Not so strangely, my entire month of
14
15
    April is clear.
16
              THE COURT: Me too.
                                                                Ιf
              MR. HARRISON: I will be back mid-April, Judge.
17
    we can -- the first week of May?
18
19
              MR. STOLAR: May 1st?
20
              THE COURT: Just a second.
21
              MR. STOLAR: May Day, Law Day.
              THE COURT: You said the government two weeks and
22
    the defense maybe a week, right?
23
              MR. STOLAR: I don't think it will be that long.
24
25
    There will be a defense case.
```

Do you have something in June? THE COURT: I do.

MR. STOLAR:

24

25

MR. HARRISON: We could, Judge, if April 24th is a jury return day, I guess we could have jurors come in and fill out a questionnaire and then at least the lawyers could get that going that week.

THE COURT: Exactly. If you could do that, then I could feel somewhat confident that we'd actually start the trial on the May 1st.

MR. STOLAR: Right. That would be the idea. We get iury selection taken care of.

THE COURT: Okay. Good.

All right, counsel. When should I put this on for the next conference?

MR. STOLAR: The only other issue that I have on my laundry list here is that -- is the question of early

Are there any other issues, discovery issues?

disclosure of Brady and Giglio material. Mr. Harrison in his letter dated yesterday did release a piece of Brady information. But the -- one of the major things that I was

looking for, which Mr. Harrison does not respond to, had to do with any reports that are made by the confidential informant

to his handler of conversations that he had with Matin where

Matin is resistant to the idea of engaging in any kind of

violent activity.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Mr. Harrison, you said that you would respond to that, you would consider that.

MR. HARRISON: We argued this at -- I thought Your Honor dealt with this.

THE COURT: I did. My recollection is that what you indicated at that point was that you hadn't at that point fully understood what Mr. Stolar's concern was and that you

MR. HARRISON: That was related to the Brady letter that I just turned over.

Mr. Stolar's point was, he made clear at the last time we were here that they were going to impose an entrapment defense. Based on that, I wanted to go back and look at our materials and see if there was anything that was more likely to be Brady based on that. That's what I did. That's the letter I wrote.

As I put in my letter, I don't think that's actually technically Brady but I wanted to be on the safe side and turn it over. That's what that was as to. We argued orally about the other reports. I think it was pretty clear at least from the my point of view --

THE COURT: I ruled.

would review the material and provide --

MR. STOLAR: You ruled they weren't Rule 16.

THE COURT: Correct.

MR. STOLAR: But this is a different -- the same report but a different issue.

1 THE COURT: If they are Brady, they have an 2 obligation to turn it over. I am not sure what --3 MR. HARRISON: What --4 MR. STOLAR: In the -- any of the reports that the CI, Dadouhdi. D A D O U H D I -- that's not the right 5 6 spelling, Judge. 7 THE DEFENDANT: DAWODI. 8 MR. STOLAR: DAWODI, Dawodi. 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR STOLAR: In the -- in months prior to his beginning to tape conversations with Mr. Matin, I am sure he 11 12 made reports to his handler about interactions that he had 13 with Matin and others. If in those reports there are 14 indications that Matin was resistant to the idea or expressed 15 ideas that say I don't want to be involved in any kind of 16 violent jihad or any kind of conduct of that nature, those 17 would be Brady, given the nature of an entrapment defense. 18 That shows predisposition, as opposed to something that comes 19 later on, which is a willing participation in the conspiracy. 20 THE COURT: Okav. 21 MR. HARRISON: Okay. Right. So we have gone past the issue of -- before Mr. Stolar wanted all the reports 22 23 regardless of --24 MR. STOLAR: Rule 16. 25 MR. HARRISON: I understand. I will check again. Ι

1 think I have gone through the stuff with an eye towards that. 2 There was a mention in the original complaint filed 3 in open court that the defendant had made a statement like 4 that related directly to the bombing conspiracy, that he 5 didn't want to kill anybody. We put that in the complaint. It's not like that hasn't been disclosed. 6 7 MR. STOLAR: That's in the tapes. 8 MR. HARRISON: As far as I remember, there is 9 nothing else in there. I will go back and check once again. 10 THE COURT: Anything else? 11 MR. STOLAR: That completes my laundry list. 12 THE COURT: All right. Let's -- when shall we meet 13 again then? 14 MR. HARRISON: Do you want to meet on December 16th, 15 Judge, just as a status, a week after we submit any disputes 16 that we have about the questionnaires in writing to you? 17 MR. STOLAR: If we can do it in the afternoon, 18 that's fine with me. 19 THE COURT: That's fine. Okay. How about 4:00 20 o'clock? 21 MR. STOLAR: 4:00 o'clock on Friday is always 22 wonderful. THE COURT: 23 Okay. 24 MR. HARRISON: Judge, I assume the defense is 25 agreeing to exclude the time, speedy trial time, up until our

```
23
 1
     trial date.
 2
               MR. STOLAR: Of course.
 3
               THE COURT: Since they requested it, I think that's
 4
     appropriate.
 5
               MR. HARRISON:
                               Thank you, Judge.
               THE COURT: Time will be excluded until our trial
 6
 7
     date, April 24th.
 8
               Okay. Thank you very much.
               MR. HARRISON: Thank you.
 9
10
               MR. STOLAR: Thank you.
11
               (Matter concludes.)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```